Simultaneous Fluorescent Labeling of Proteins in Living Cells

Introduction

Specific labeling of proteins via self-labeling SNAP-tag® and CLIP-tag™ provides an innovative tool for studying the function and localization of proteins in live and fixed cells (1,2). Covalent protein labeling brings simplicity and versatility to the imaging of mammalian proteins in living cells, as well as the ability to capture proteins *in vitro*. The creation of a single genetic construct generates a fusion protein which, when covalently attached to a variety of fluorophores, biotin or beads, provides a powerful tool for investigating proteins via fluorescent imaging, pull-down, and other biochemical analyses. Unlike some commonly used autofluorescent proteins, the fluorescent signal from a self-labeling tag can be initiated upon addition of a label, allowing time-resolved studies of protein expression, localization and degradation. A collection of non-fluorescent substrates that block SNAP- and CLIP-tag reactivity enables pulse-chase studies and assessment of the temporal dynamics of nascent protein synthesis and complex formation in live cells (3).

General Protocol

- 1. Seed trypsinized U2OS cells in an 8-well Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene #155409).
- 2. Co-transfect the cells with 0.3 μg each of SNAP $_f$ and CLIP $_f$ fusion protein construct and incubate samples for 18-24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO $_{,}$.
- Remove transfection complex media, wash cells twice with complete media, and label cells with 3 μM SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell™ 505 (NEB #S9217S) labeling media for 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₃.
- 4. Remove the labeling media and add media containing 5 μ M Hoechst 33342 to the cells for 2-3 minutes for nuclear counterstaining (optional).
- 5. Wash cells 3X with complete media then incubate the samples for 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₂ to allow unincorporated substrate to diffuse out of the cells.
- 6. Replace media one last time and proceeded to imaging.




Figure 1. Orthogonal labeling of SNAP_r-tubulin and CLIP_r-H2B fusion proteins transiently expressed in live U2OS cells. Cells were labeled with 3 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (red) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell 505 (green) for 30 minutes and counterstained with Hoescht 33342 (blue) for nuclei.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- pCLIP,-H2B (NEB #N9218S)
- pCLIP_f-Cox8A (NEB #N9217S)
- pSNAP,-tubulin (not a catalog product)
- CLIP-Cell™ 505 (NEB #S9217S)
- SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S)
- U2OS or HeLa cells
- · DMEM medium
- Hoechst 33342
- Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene)



Results

SNAP, and CLIP, tags for Fluorescent Labeling

SNAP_f and CLIP_f are improved versions of SNAP- and CLIP-tags with increased reaction rates for their fluorescent substrates (4,5). Simultaneous dual labeling of SNAP_f and CLIP_f fusion proteins provides researchers with a unique tool to study proteins with rapid dynamics or fast turnover rates in living cells (3). Figure 1 shows the orthogonal labeling of two proteins in live cells: SNAP_f-Tubulin, (a dynamic protein that polymerizes into microtubules), and CLIP_f-H2B (histone H2B, a nuclear protein involved in chromatin structure). Figure 2 shows the orthogonal labeling in live HeLa cells transfected with pSNAP_f-tubulin and pCLIP_f-Cox8A (mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 8A). The data clearly demonstrate specific labeling of the appropriate target proteins, confirming that SNAP_f and CLIP_f can be used for orthogonal protein labeling in living cells. The fluorescent labeling can be performed simultaneously or sequentially, depending on the experimental needs.




Figure 2. Orthogonal labeling of SNAP_T-Tubulin and CLIP_t-Cox8A fusion proteins transiently expressed in live HeLa cells. Cells were labeled with 3 μM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (red) and 5 μM CLIP-Cell 505 (green) for 30 minutes and counterstained with Hoescht 33342 (blue) for nuclei

Summary

Live cell imaging using fluorescent tags has been widely used by researchers to study protein expression and location. Its applications include assessing protein dynamics, cellular structures, and organogenesis.

CLIP_f and SNAP_f tag are valuable tools for the selective labeling of proteins in live cells. As both tags have been engineered to react with distinct classes of substrates, the system can simultaneously visualize two proteins in the same cellular environment. The dynamics of their interaction, localization and stability can be readily examined following a variety of cellular stimuli.

References:

- 1. Keppler, A. et al. (2003) Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 86-89.
- 2. Keppler, A. et al. (2004) PNAS, 101, 9955–9959.
- 3. Gautier, A. et al. (2009) Chem. Biol. 15, 128-136.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217–2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.



SNAP_f based pulse labeling for analysis of protein turnover in living cells

By Mariluz Gomez R. and Lars E.T. Jansen Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, 2780-156 Oeiras, Portugal

Introduction

SNAP-tag based pulse labeling allows for analysis of protein turnover in living cells (1-3). Since the timing of labeling of a given fusion protein is under experimental control, questions about protein trafficking, protein turnover, organelle dynamics and macromolecular assembly become open to investigation. Sensitivity and temporal resolution of such measurements are limited by reaction kinetics. An improved version of SNAP-tag has been developed, termed SNAP, that displays better reaction kinetics *in vitro* and *in vivo* (4,5).

Pulse Labeling of SNAP-CENP-A Fusion Protein

To assess SNAP $_f$ -tag performance in living cells, both SNAP26m (earlier mutant version) and SNAP $_f$ along with 3 copies of a Haemagglutinin (HA) tag each, were fused to the N-terminus of Centromeric Protein A (CENP-A) (Fig. 1). This histone variant localizes to centromeres producing a unique pattern of nuclear foci (1). HeLa cells were transfected with either fusion protein and labeled with SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB#S9105S) at concentrations ranging from 1-2 μ M for 5-15 minutes. Excess substrate was washed away. Cells were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence to detect HA as a measure of SNAP-tag fusion protein expression level. Finally, TMR/HA ratios were obtained as a measurement of SNAP-tag activity per protein amount. SNAP $_f$ outperformed SNAP26m *in vivo* by three-fold across dye concentration and incubation times tested (Fig. 2).




Figure 1. A proportion of centromeric histones are N-terminally tagged with SNAP, and an HA epitope tag and incorporated into centromeric chromatin in vivo. Pulse labeling with SNAP-Cell TMR-Star results in specific SNAP, dependent fluorescence.

General Protocol

Labeling of SNAP26m and SNAP,-tag fusion proteins

- 1. Seed cells on a glass coverslip.
- After 24-48 hours transfect cells with a construct expressing SNAP26m or SNAP_i-tag fusion proteins.
- 3. Incubate for 48 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₃, in a cell culture incubator.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- Cells expressing SNAP-CENP-A fusion proteins
- SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB# S9105S)
- · HeLa cells
- · DMEM medium
- Anti-HA antibody (Covance)
- 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)
- · Glass coverslips
- Phosphate buffered saline (PBS)



- 4. Label cells with 1-2 μ M SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB#S9105S) diluted in pre-warmed complete medium.
- 5. Incubate for 5-15 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₂, in a cell culture incubator.
- Wash cells 2x with pre-warmed PBS, followed by incubation in fresh complete medium for 30 minutes.
- 7. Wash cells 2x with pre-warmed PBS.
- 8. Fix cells.

Immunofluorescence

- Perform immunofluorescence using standard procedures to detect the HA-tag (Covance) or protein of interest.
- 10. Stain with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) before mounting.

Microscopy

11. Image cells using a standard wide field fluorescence microscope using appropriate filters.

Results




Figure 2. HeLa cells transiently transfected with centromeric SNAP26m or SNAP, fusion proteins were labeled with SNAP-Cell TMR-Star at indicated concentrations and incubation times and processed for immunofluorescence with anti-HA. Representative images of cells are shown with SNAP-Cell TMR-Star signals in green and DAPI (DNA) in blue. TMR/HA ratios are used as a measure of SNAP, activity. Results are plotted as fold difference normalized against signals obtained after incubation with 2 µM SNAP-Cell TMR-Star for 15 minutes.

Summary

Pulse labeling with SNAP-Cell TMR-Star results in specific SNAP $_{\rm f}$ dependent fluorescence. The SNAP $_{\rm f}$ displays a 3-fold better performance in living cells compared to SNAP26m. Faster SNAP $_{\rm f}$ kinetics allows for reduction of substrate concentration and incubation times. This is a significant improvement that will facilitate sensitivity, better temporal resolution of turnover studies and live cell imaging of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ pulse labeled cells.

References

- 1. Jansen, L. et al. (2007) J. Cell Biol. 176, 795-805.
- 2. Farr, G.A. (2009) J. Cell Biol. 186, 269-282.
- 3. Milenkovic, L. (2010) J. Cell Biol. 187, 365-374.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217–2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.



Development of SNAP_f and CLIP_f: Fast-labeling Variants of SNAP and CLIP tags

Introduction

The ability to monitor highly dynamic processes is integral to the understanding of how proteins function in a cellular environment. Processes such as protein synthesis, transport, and degradation can occur on a timescale measured in minutes rather than hours. Additionally, protein expression, localization, and degradation are often rapidly modulated during the course of a variety of cellular processes. In order to effectively study proteins with rapid dynamics or fast turnover rates, researchers need tools to monitor these events in real time.

Results

SNAP, and CLIP, tags Fast-labeling Systems

The SNAP- and CLIP-tag are self-labeling tags based on human O6-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase (hAGT), a DNA repair protein (1-3). New England Biolabs has developed improved versions of these tags, termed SNAP_f and CLIP_f SNAP_f carries 19 amino acid substitutions and a C-terminal deletion compared to wild-type hAGT, and 10 extra mutations compared to SNAP26m (an earlier mutant version). The new polylinker sequences allow expression of pSNAP_f vector (NEB #S9183S) on either the N- or C-terminus of the protein of interest, under control of the CMV promoter. The CLIP_f expression vector pCLIP_f (NEB #S9215S) bears the same backbone and compatible polylinkers. Both vectors contain a neomycin resistance (NeoR) gene for selection of stable transfectants and an IRES element for efficient expression of both the fusion protein and NeoR.

SNAP $_{\rm f}$ and CLIP $_{\rm f}$ exhibit faster labeling kinetics than their predecessors in both *in vitro* and in live cell assays (4,5). When comparing the reactivity of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ and SNAP26m towards several fluorophore conjugates, SNAP $_{\rm f}$ shows up to 10-fold increased *in vitro* activity relative to SNAP26m (Table 1). The time required for 50% labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ at 1 μ M protein concentration with 5 μ M SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S), SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S), SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S), and SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S) was calculated to be 11, 12, 13, and 34 s, respectively. SNAP $_{\rm f}$ reacts with a wide range of benzylguanine substrates with efficiencies greater than 90% after a 5 min incubation and greater than 95% and after a 30 min incubation (Table 2).

General Protocol

- Seed trypsinized U2OS cells stably transfected with pSNAP_f-H2B (or pSNAP26m-H2B) in an 8-well Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene #155409).
- 2. Incubate sample for 18-24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- 3. Remove transfection complex media, wash cells twice with complete media, and label cells with 3 μ M SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) labeling media from 0 to 30 minutes at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- 4. Lyse cells in 1X SDS loading buffer.
- 5. Analyze total protein from $\sim 10^5$ cells per lane by SDS-PAGE.
- 6. Transfer samples onto a NC membrane.
- 7. Scan and visualize using Typhoon 9400 Imager (Ex./Em. filter set: 532/580 nm).
- 8. Perform Western blot analysis with anti-Tubulin antibody (1:2000) to assess protein level.

DNA CLONING

DNA AMPLIFICATION & PCR

EPIGENETICS

RNA ANALYSIS

SAMPLE PREP FOR NEXT GEN SEQUENCING

PROTEIN EXPRESSION & ANALYSIS

CELLULAR ANALYSIS

Materials

- pSNAP_f-H2B (NEB #N9186S)
- SNAP-Cell® TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S)
- U2OS cells
- DMEM medium
- · Anti-Tubulin antibody
- Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass (Nalgene)



Table 1. Kinetics properties of $SNAP_f$ and SNAP26m

SUBSTRATE	MUTANT	T _½ [S]	K _{OBS} [M ⁻¹ S ⁻¹]	REL. RATE
SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S)	SNAP26m	88	1583	1
	SNAP _f	11	12183	7.6
SNAP-Cell 505 (NEB #S9103S)	SNAP26m	28	4945	1
	SNAP _f	11	12447	2.5
SNAP-Cell Oregon Green (NEB #S9104S)	SNAP26m	654	212	1
	SNAP _f	111	1249	5.9
SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S)	SNAP26m	90	1536	1
	SNAP _f	12	12033	7.8
SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S)	SNAP26m	129	1074	1
	SNAP _f	13	11138	10.4
SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S)	SNAP26m	219	634	1
	SNAP _f	34	4768	7.5

Activities of SNAP, and SNAP26m mutants were measured using proteins isolated with the IMPACT protein expression and purification system. The relative rates are calculated by comparing the $k_{\rm obs}$ value of SNAP, to that of SNAP26m labeled with the same substrate at 25°C.

Table 2. Labeling Efficiency of SNAP, tag

	LABELIN	LABELING TIME	
SUBSTRATE	5 MIN	30 MIN	
SNAP-Cell TMR-star (NEB #S9104S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Cell 505 (NEB #S9103S)	93%	95%	
SNAP-Cell Fluorescein (NEB #S9107S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Cell Block (NEB #S9106S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Surface 488 (NEB #S9124S)	94%	95%	
SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 488 (NEB #S9129S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Surface 549 (NEB #S9112S)	92%	95%	
SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 546 (NEB #S9132S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Surface 647 (NEB #S9137S)	93%	95%	
SNAP-Surface Alexa Fluor 647 (NEB #S9136S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Surface Block (NEB #S9143S)	90%	95%	
SNAP-Vista Green (NEB #S9147S)	95%	95%	
SNAP-Biotin (NEB #S9110S)	95%	95%	

The labeling reactions were performed in the presence of 5 μ M SNAP, protein and 10 μ M substrate at 25°C. The reactions were analyzed by mass spectrometry to detect the unlabeled and labeled SNAP, species.

NEW ENGLAND

BioLabs nc.

enabling technologies in the life science.

Results

SNAP, Protein Labeling in Living Cells

Time course labeling using SNAP-Cell TMR-Star (NEB #S9105S) exemplifies the superior rate of reaction of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ over SNAP26m (Fig. 1). The results demonstrate that labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ -H2B can be visualized after an incubation time as short as 5 minutes using 1 μ M SNAP-Cell TMR-Star, whereas at least 15 minutes are necessary for the detection of a distinct signal for labeled SNAP26m-H2B. The significantly enhanced labeling of SNAP $_{\rm f}$ fusion is consistent at every labeling time point from 5–30 minutes.




Figure 1. Improved labeling efficiency of SNAP, fusion proteins in live U2OS cells. Stable U2OS cells expressing SNAP, H2B and SNAP-H2B were labeled with 1 μ M SNAP-Cell TMR-Star from 0 to 30 min. Labeling efficiency was evaluated by SDS-PAGE and in-gel fluorescence scanning after cell lysis. Tubulin was used as protein expression control.

Summary

The new generation of fast self-labeling ${\rm SNAP_f}$ and ${\rm CLIP_f}$ systems opens new avenues for analysis of highly dynamic processes in living cells with high spatial-temporal resolution. The improved kinetics of ${\rm SNAP_f}$ and ${\rm CLIP_f}$ associated with their unique molecular specificity enables the investigation of proteins with regard to their dynamic behavior, including cellular trafficking, stability and interactions in complex biosystems.

References:

- 1. Keppler, A. et al. (2003) Nat. Biotechnol. 21, 86-89.
- 2. Keppler, A. et al. (2004) PNAS, 101, 9955–9959.
- 3. Gautier, A. et al. (2009) Chem. Biol. 15, 128-136.
- 4. Sun, X. et al. (2011) ChemBioChem, 12, 2217-2226.
- 5. Pellett, P. A. et al. (2011) *Biomed. Opt. Expr.* 2, 2364–2371.

